

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL,

Petitioners,

vs.

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715,

Respondent.

Case No: 5:07-CV-05158-JF

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS
and LUCILE PACKARD
CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL'S
MOTION TO CONTINUE
DEADLINE TO FILE/HEAR
DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS**
[Local Rules 6-1 and 6-3]

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner and Counter-
Respondent,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents and Counter-
Petitioners.

Case No: 5:08-CV-00213-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING HOSPITALS' MOTION TO CONTINUE DEADLINE TO
FILE/HEAR DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS**

CASE NOS. 5:07-CV-05158-JF, 5:08-CV-00213-JF, 5:08-CV-00215-JF;
5:08-CV-00216-JF; 5:08-CV-01726-JF; 5:08-CV-01727-JF

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-00215-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-00216-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-01726-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-01727-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

ORDER

Pursuant to U.S. District Court – Northern District of California’s Civil Local Rules (“Local Rules”) 6-1 and 6-3, Petitioners and Respondents STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN’S HOSPITAL (the “HOSPITALS”) brought their motion for an order continuing the date to file and hear dispositive motions in the above-referenced actions (the “Actions”). The HOSPITALS were represented by Eileen R. Ridley of Foley & Lardner, LLP while Respondent and Petitioner, SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (“SEIU”), Local 715 (herein referred to as “SEIU-Local 715” or “Local 715”), was represented by Bruce Harland of Weinberg, Roger & Rosenfeld.

After considering the papers in support of and in opposition to said motion, and finding good cause therefore, this Court hereby GRANTS the HOSPITALS’ motion. Thus, the deadline to file dispositive motions in the above-referenced Actions shall be continued from July 18, 2008 to _____, 2008. Similarly, the hearing date for such dispositive motions shall be continued from August 29, 2008 to _____, 2008.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July __, 2008

Hon. Jeremy Fogel
U.S. District Court Judge